arXiv:1606.01421v1 [math.CO] 4 Jun 2016

Bounds for approximating lower envelopes with polynomials of degree at most d

Jesse Geneson
geneson@gmail.com

Abstract

Given a lower envelope in the form of an arbitrary sequence u, let $LSP(u, d)$ denote the maximum length of any subsequence of u that can be realized as the lower envelope of a set of polynomials of degree at most d. Let $sp(m, d)$ denote the minimum value of $LSP(u, d)$ over all sequences u of length m. We derive bounds on $sp(m, d)$ using another extremal function for sequences.

A sequence u is called v-free if no subsequence of u is isomorphic to v. Given sequences u and v, let $LSS(u, v)$ denote the maximum length of a v-free subsequence of u. Let $ss(m, v)$ denote the minimum of $LSS(u, v)$ over all sequences u of length m. By bounding $ss(m, v)$ for alternating sequences v, we prove quasilinear bounds in $m^{1/2}$ on $sp(m, d)$ for all $d > 0$.

Keywords: $0-1$ matrices, forbidden patterns, extremal functions, Davenport-Schinzel sequences, lower envelopes

1 Introduction

A graph G contains a graph H if some subgraph of G is isomorphic to H. Otherwise G avoids H. If G and H are graphs, $LSG(G, H)$ denotes the number of edges in the largest subgraph of G that avoids H. Let $sg(m, H)$ denote the minimum of $LSG(G, H)$ over all graphs G with m edges. The function $sg(m, H)$ has been bounded for complete bipartite graphs in [2] and for large subgraphs without short cycles in [4].

In this paper, we investigate a function about lower envelopes that is analogous to sg: Given a lower envelope represented as an arbitrary sequence u, how much of the lower envelope can be realized using only polynomials of degree at most k?

This problem is closely related to Davenport-Schinzel sequences and finding the maximum possible complexity of a lower envelope of polynomials of degree at most k [3]. Researchers have proved tight bounds on the maximum possible length of Davenport-Schinzel sequences [1, 7, 8], but the bounds for the maximum possible complexity of a lower envelope of n polynomials of degree at most k are still not tight for $k \geq 3$.

Shor proved that the maximum possible complexity of a lower envelope of n polynomials of degree at most 4 is $\Omega(n\alpha(n))$ [9], where $\alpha(n)$ denotes the incredibly slow-growing inverse Ackermann function. However, it is conjectured that the maximum possible complexity is $\Theta(n2^{\alpha(n)})$ for $k = 4$ and $\Theta(n\alpha(n))$ for $k = 3$. For $k = 1, 2$, it is easy to show that the bounds are $\Theta(n)$.

Let $LSP(u, k)$ denote the maximum length of any subsequence of u that can be realized as the lower envelope of a set of polynomials of degree at most k. Let $sp(m, k)$ denote the minimum value of $LSP(u, k)$ over all sequences u of length m. In order to get bounds for this problem, we find bounds on corresponding functions for forbidden $0-1$ matrices and sequences.

A $0-1$ matrix A contains a $0-1$ matrix P if some submatrix of A is either equal to P or can be changed to P by turning some ones into zeroes. If P is a $0-1$ matrix and A is a $0-1$ matrix, let $LSM(A, P)$ denote the maximum number of ones in a P-avoiding $0-1$ matrix B that is contained in A. Let $sm(m, P)$ denote the minimum of $LSM(A, P)$ over all $0-1$ matrices A with m ones.

We identify all matrices P for which $ex(n, P) = O(n)$ and $sm(m, P) \neq \Theta(m^{1/2})$, where $ex(n, P)$ is the maximum number of ones in an $n \times n$ $0-1$ matrix that avoids P. For every $0-1$ matrix P such that $ex(n, P) = O(n)$, it is easy to show that $sm(m, P) = O(m^{1/2})$.

A sequence u is called v-free if no subsequence of u is isomorphic to v. Given sequences u and v, let $LSS(u, v)$ denote the maximum length of a v-free subsequence of u. Let $ss(m, v)$ denote the minimum of $LSS(u, v)$ over all sequences u of length m.

We say that a sequence u is realized as a lower envelope of a set of functions $\{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ if $\min\{f_1(x), \ldots, f_n(x)\}$ can be expressed as a piecewise function $g(x)$ such that the sequence of functions in $g(x)$ from $-\infty$ to ∞ is

isomorphic to u.

Usually a function $f(n)$ is called *quasilinear* if there exists a constant t such that $f(n) = O(n \log(n)^t)$. However in this paper, all of the quasilinear functions will satisfy an even stronger property: for each such function $f(n)$, there will exist a constant t such that $f(n) = O(n2^{\alpha(n)^t})$.

It is easy to see that $sp(m,k) \leq ss(m, a_{k+2})$, where a_n denotes the alternation $abab\ldots$ of length n, since two polynomials of degree k can intersect at most k times. We use this inequality to show that $sp(m,k)$ is quasilinear in $m^{1/2}$ for every $k > 0$.

2 $0-1$ Matrix Results

The first result is analogous to a result about complete bipartite graphs [2]. It is included to introduce a useful probabilistic technique that applies to other $0-1$ matrices, and to use for corollaries about extremal functions of other $0-1$ matrices.

Theorem 1. *If P is an $r \times s$ $0-1$ matrix with all entries equal to 1 and $s \geq r \geq 2$, then $sm(m,P) = \Theta(m^{r/(r+1)})$.*

This result follows from the next two lemmas, very similar to the proofs in [2].

Lemma 2. *If P is an $r \times r$ $0-1$ matrix with all entries equal to 1 and $r \geq 2$, then $sm(m,P) = \Omega(m^{r/(r+1)})$.*

Proof. Let A be any $0-1$ matrix with m ones. First we claim that the maximum possible number of copies of P in A is less than m^r. To see this, note that any copy of P is defined by the r ones on its main diagonal. Among the m ones in A, there are at most $\binom{m}{r}$ ways to choose r ones, which is less than m^r.

Now create a matrix A' from A by not changing the zeroes in A, and changing each one in A to zero with probability $1-p$, such that $p = \frac{1}{2}m^{-1/(r+1)}$. The expected number of ones in A' is mp and the expected number of copies of P in A' is at most $p^{r^2}m^r$. Then there is a P-free $0-1$ matrix contained in A that has at least $mp - p^{r^2}m^r = \Omega(m^{r/(r+1)})$ ones on average. Therefore there exists a choice of A' which produces a P-free subgraph of size $\Omega(m^{r/(r+1)})$. ∎

Lemma 3. *If P is an $s \times r$ $0-1$ matrix with all entries equal to 1 and $s \geq r \geq 2$, then $sm(m, P) = O(m^{r/(r+1)})$.*

Proof. Let A be an $m^{r/(r+1)} \times m^{1/(r+1)}$ matrix with every entry equal to 1, and let A' be a P-free $0-1$ matrix contained in A.

Let t be the number of ones in A', let R denote the rows of A', and let w_i denote the number of ones in row i of A' for each $i \in R$. By convexity, $m^{r/(r+1)} \times \binom{t/m^{r/(r+1)}}{r} \leq \sum_{i \in R} \binom{w_i}{r}$. By the pigeonhole principle, $\sum_{i \in R} \binom{w_i}{r} < s\binom{m^{1/(r+1)}}{r}$. ■

The next two lemmas give operations on $0-1$ matrices that only change $sm(m, P)$ by at most a constant factor, with some corollaries.

Lemma 4. *Suppose that P is a $0-1$ matrix with a row r that has a pair of adjacent ones in columns c and $c+1$. Let R be the $0-1$ matrix obtained by inserting a new column between columns c and $c+1$ with a one in row r and zeroes elsewhere. Then $sm(m, P) \leq sm(m, R) \leq 2sm(m, P)$.*

Proof. Let A be a $0-1$ matrix with m ones such that every $0-1$ matrix contained in A with at least $sm(m, P)+1$ ones contains P. Suppose that A' is a $0-1$ matrix contained in A with $2sm(m, P)+1$ ones. Let B be obtained from A' by changing every other one in each row to zeroes, starting with the second one in each row. Since B has at least $sm(m, P) + 1$ ones, choose a copy of P in B. This corresponds to a copy of R in A'. ■

Corollary 5. *If P is an $r \times s$ $0-1$ matrix with all entries equal to 1 and $s \geq r \geq 2$ and R is obtained by inserting a new column between two columns of P with a single one in the new column, then $sm(m, R) = \Theta(m^{r/(r+1)})$.*

Lemma 6. *Suppose that P is a $0-1$ matrix with ones in both its bottom left and top right corners. Let R be the $0-1$ matrix obtained by joining two copies of P so that they overlap at the bottom left and top right corners and filling with zeroes elsewhere. Then $sm(m, P) \leq sm(m, R) \leq 2sm(m, P)$.*

Proof. Let A be a $0-1$ matrix with m ones such that every $0-1$ matrix contained in A with at least $sm(m, P)+1$ ones contains P. Suppose that A' is a $0-1$ matrix contained in A with $2sm(m, P)+1$ ones. Choose a copy of P in A' and delete the one in the bottom right corner of P. Do this a total of $sm(m, P)+1$ times. The deleted entries form a copy of P, so A' contains R. ■

Corollary 7. *If P is an $r \times s$ $0-1$ matrix with all entries equal to 1 and $s \geq r \geq 2$ and R is obtained by joining multiple copies of P at their bottom left corners and top right corners and filling with zeroes elsewhere, then $sm(m, R) = \Theta(m^{r/(r+1)})$.*

Both of the operations in the last two lemmas are analogous to operations for the Turán extremal function $ex(n, P)$ [5]. The next lemma shows that the probabilistic technique from Lemma 2 can give tight lower bounds on $sm(m, P)$ for other $0-1$ matrices P.

Lemma 8. *If $P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, then $sm(m, P) = \Omega(m^{1/2})$.*

Proof. Let A be any $0-1$ matrix with m ones. The maximum possible number of copies of P in A is less than m^2. To see this, note that any copy of P is defined by the 2 ones on its main diagonal. Among the m ones in A, there are at most $\binom{m}{2}$ ways to choose 2 ones, which is less than m^2.

Now create a matrix A' from A by not changing the zeroes in A, and changing each one in A to zero with probability $1-p$, such that $p = \frac{1}{2}m^{-1/2}$. The expected number of ones in A' is mp and the expected number of copies of P in A' is at most p^3m^2. Then there is a P-free $0-1$ matrix contained in A which has at least $mp - p^3m^2 = \Omega(m^{1/2})$ edges on average. Therefore there exists a choice of A' which produces a P-free subgraph of size $\Omega(m^{1/2})$. ■

The next lemma gives a trivial upper bound on $sm(m, P)$ for $0-1$ matrices P in terms of $ex(n, P)$.

Lemma 9. $sm(m, P) \leq ex(m^{1/2}, P)$

Proof. Let A be an $m^{1/2} \times m^{1/2}$ matrix with every entry equal to 1. Then the maximum number of ones in any P-free $0-1$ matrix contained in A is $ex(m^{1/2}, P)$. ■

This lemma can be used to derive a number of corollaries about $sm(m, P)$.

Corollary 10. *If P is a $0-1$ matrix with $ex(n, P) = O(n)$, then $sm(m, P) = O(m^{1/2})$.*

Corollary 11. *If P is a $0-1$ matrix with a single one in every column, then $sm(m, P)$ is quasilinear in $m^{1/2}$.*

Proof. It is known that for every such P, there exists a constant t such that $ex(n, P) \leq n2^{\alpha(n)^t}$ [6]. Since $ex(n, P)$ is quasilinear in n, then $sm(m, P)$ is quasilinear in $m^{1/2}$ by Lemma 9. ■

Corollary 12. *If* $P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, *then* $sm(m, P) = O(m^{1/2})$.

Proof. Since $ex(n, P) = O(n)$, then the result follows from Corollary 10. ■

The next two lemmas show that for every $0-1$ matrix P with $ex(n, P) = O(n)$, either $sm(m, P) = \Theta(1)$ or $sm(m, P) = \Theta(m^{1/2})$.

Lemma 13. *If* P *is either the* $k \times 1$ *matrix with all ones, the* $1 \times k$ *matrix with all ones, or a* $k \times k$ *matrix with* k *ones on a diagonal, then* $sm(m, P) = k-1$.

Proof. The upper bound follows by considering either the $m \times 1$ matrix with all ones, the $1 \times m$ matrix with all ones, or an $m \times m$ matrix with m ones on a diagonal. The lower bound follows since P has k ones. ■

The next lemma gives a more general bound than the one in Lemma 8.

Lemma 14. *Suppose that* P *is a* $0-1$ *matrix that contains at least one of the following patterns:* $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Then $sm(m, P) = \Omega(m^{1/2})$.

Proof. Let A be a $0-1$ matrix with m ones. Construct a sequence S by the following process: Start S as the empty sequence. For each row in A from top to bottom, scan the current row from left to right, and append the integer i to S if column i has a 1 in the current row. By the Erdos-Szekeres theorem, S contains either a non-increasing or non-decreasing subsequence of length $m^{1/2}$. This subsequence corresponds to a $0-1$ matrix contained in A that avoids P and has at least $m^{1/2}$ ones. ■

Corollary 15. *Suppose that* P *is a matrix such that* $ex(n, P) = O(n)$. *Then* $sm(m, P) = \Theta(m^{1/2})$ *if for every* k, P *is not contained in any of the following matrices: the* $k \times 1$ *matrix with all ones, the* $1 \times k$ *matrix with all ones, or a* $k \times k$ *matrix with* k *ones on a diagonal. Otherwise,* $sm(m, P) = \Theta(1)$.

3 Sequence Results

Lemma 16. *If v is a sequence equal to either k copies of the same letter or k distinct letters, then $ss(m, v) = k - 1$.*

Proof. The upper bound follows by considering the sequence equal to either m copies of the same letter or m distinct letters. The lower bound follows since v has k letters. ■

Lemma 17. *If v contains both aa and ab, then $ss(m, v) \geq m^{1/2}$.*

Proof. Let u be any sequence of length m. If there is no letter that occurs at least $m^{1/2}$ times in u, then there are at least $m^{1/2}$ distinct letters in u. ■

Lemma 18. $ss(m, abab) = \Theta(m^{1/2})$.

Proof. The lower bound follows from Lemma 17. For the upper bound, let $m = k^2$ be a perfect square and consider the sequence u of length m such that $u = (a_1 \dots a_k)^k$. Let each disjoint copy of $(a_1 \dots a_k)$ in u be called a block.

Let v be a subsequence of u of length $3k$ and suppose for contradiction that v avoids $abab$. Every subsequence of length $k + 1$ has a subsequence isomorphic to aa. Therefore there are k disjoint copies of aa in v. The first copy of aa is in two blocks of u, and each successive copy requires at least one more block since v avoids $abab$. This is a contradiction. ■

Corollary 19. $sp(m, 2) = \Theta(m^{1/2})$

Corollary 20. $ss(m, aba) = \Theta(m^{1/2})$ *and* $sp(m, 1) = \Theta(m^{1/2})$

In order to bound $sp(m, i)$ for $i > 2$, we show a general bound on $ss(m, v)$ for every sequence v. For these bounds, we use the same fact as in Lemma 11 about $0 - 1$ matrices.

Lemma 21. *For every sequence v, $ss(m, v)$ is quasilinear in $m^{1/2}$.*

Proof. Let $m = k^2$ be a perfect square and consider the sequence u of length m such that $u = (a_1 \dots a_k)^k$. Again, let each disjoint copy of $(a_1 \dots a_k)$ in u be called a block.

Let s be any subsequence of u that avoids v. Create a $0 - 1$ matrix A from s with k columns and k rows that has a one in row i and column j if s has letter a_i in block j.

Let t be the length of v, let r be the number of distinct letters in v, and let the letters of v be $l_1, \ldots, l_r$. Let P be the $r \times t$ $0-1$ matrix such that P has a one in row i and column j if the j^{th} letter of v is l_i. Then A avoids P, or else s would contain v. Since $ex(n, P)$ is quasilinear in n as in Lemma 11, $ss(m, v)$ is quasilinear in $m^{1/2}$. ■

Corollary 22. *$sp(m, k)$ is quasilinear in $m^{1/2}$ for every $k > 0$.*

4 Open Problems

Many questions are left unanswered about the functions sm, ss, and sp. For example, what are the $0-1$ matrices P such that $sm(m, P) = \Theta(m^{1/2})$? In this paper, we identified the matrices P for which $ex(n, P) = O(n)$ and $sm(m, P) \neq \Theta(m^{1/2})$. Are there any matrices P for which $ex(n, P) = \omega(n)$ and $sm(m, P) = \Theta(m^{1/2})$?

We also showed that $ss(m, aba) = \Theta(m^{1/2})$ and $ss(m, abab) = \Theta(m^{1/2})$, but the bounds are not tight for alternations of length at least 5. If u is an alternation of length at least 5, then $ss(m, u)$ has an obvious lower bound of $\Omega(m^{1/2})$ and an upper bound that is quasilinear in $m^{1/2}$. Is there a lower bound on $ss(m, u)$ that is nonlinear in $m^{1/2}$?

The same questions about ss and alternations can be asked about sp and polynomials of degree at least 3. We showed that $sp(m, 1) = \Theta(m^{1/2})$ and $sp(m, 2) = \Theta(m^{1/2})$, but the bounds on $sp(m, k)$ are not tight for $k > 2$. As with ss, the upper bounds on $sp(m, k)$ are quasilinear in $m^{1/2}$ for $k > 2$. Is there a lower bound on $sp(m, k)$ that is nonlinear in $m^{1/2}$ for $k > 2$?

Another problem is to find a faster algorithm for computing LSP: given a lower envelope in the form of an arbitrary sequence u, what is the maximum length of any subsequence of u that can be realized as the lower envelope of a set of polynomials of degree at most d? There are obvious deterministic brute-force algorithms for LSP, but what is the minimum worst-case time complexity for a deterministic algorithm to solve LSP? The same question can be asked for LSM and LSS. In addition, are there efficient algorithms for approximating LSP, LSS, and LSM up to an additive or multiplicative factor?

References

[1] P.K. Agarwal, M. Sharir, and P. Shor. Sharp upper and lower bounds for the length of general Davenport-Schinzel sequences. Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series A 52 (1989) 228-274.

[2] D. Conlon, J. Fox, and B. Sudakov. Short proofs of some extremal results II. CoRR abs/1507.00547 (2015)

[3] H. Davenport and A. Schinzel. A combinatorial problem connected with differential equations. American Journal of Math. 87 (1965) 684-694.

[4] F. Foucaud, M. Krivelevich and G. Perarnau, Large subgraphs without short cycles, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 29 (2015), 65-78.

[5] B. Keszegh: On linear forbidden submatrices, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A, 116 (2009), 232-241.

[6] M. Klazar. Enumerative and extremal combinatorics of a containment relation of partitions and hypergraphs, Habilitation thesis (2001)

[7] G. Nivasch. Improved bounds and new techniques for Davenport-Schinzel sequences and their generalizations. Journal of the ACM 57 (2010) article 17.

[8] S. Pettie, Three generalizations of Davenport-Schinzel sequences, arXiv:1401.5709, 2014.

[9] P. Shor. Geometric Realizations of Superlinear Davenport-Schinzel Sequences (Part 1: Line Segments). Manuscript.